0SA-4647-65 **#**1739

REPLY TO: Audit Liaison Office P. O. Box 8155 S. W. Station Washington, D. C.

7 December 1965

SUBJECT: Review of ECP #1987-22

Airborne Instrument Laboratory

Deer Park, New York

TO : Contracting Officer

1. A review was made of the contractor's cost proposal to provide maintenance service for the CDC-3200 computer complex and peripheral equipment. All the maintenance services will be performed by a subcontractor, Control Data Corporation, with no input to be contributed by the subject contractor except to function as a contracting agent at a cost of \$26,639. The period of performance is estimated to commence on 31 January 1966 and to terminate on 30 October 1966 (nine - 9 - months.)

2. A summary of the contractor's cost proposal and the auditor's applicable comments are as follows:

	Per	Ref
,	Proposal	Notes
Subcontracting (CDC)	\$171,176	a
G & A @7.5%	12,838	· b
Total Cost	\$184,014	
Fee Requested 7.5%	13,801	ee
TOTAL PROPOSAL	\$1 <u>97,</u> 815	
TOTAL PROPOSAL	\$197,815	

Ref Notes:

a. The contractor's pricing of maintenance service is based on a published price list supplied by the subcontractor (CDC) for contracts with the General Services Administration. The subcontractor's price list/catalogue offers four (4) options for available maintenance service as follows:

Option I - Eight (8) hour day, five (5) days per week Option III - Twelve (12) hour day, five (5) days per week Option III - Sixteen (16) hour day, five (5) days per week Option IV - Twenty (20) hour day, five (5) dyas per week

The contractor has elected to present the proposal based on option IV, since the equipment to be serviced will be in operation for 20 hours per day. If the Option I is considered desirable by technically qualified representatives, then this would result in a subcontract cost savings of \$108,326 as presented as follows on attached schedule.

- b. The contractor proposed a G & A rate of 7.5%. If Option IV is considered acceptable, then the auditor accepts the contractor's proposed rate. If one of the other three options are considered desirable, the auditor recommends a G & A rate of 7.4%. A review of the current year book rates and forecasted budgets indicates that the 7.5% rate may be excessive. No adjustment was made to Option IV costs since a rate reduction of .1% would result in only a minor cost saving.
- c. The contractor has requested a fee based on 7.5% of the estimated costs.

SIGNED

WILLIAM F. EDWARDS
Auditor General Representative (APL)

	Sov. 63	\$ \$er- 8	3200 Carpoter Sev- 13	Trung Switch 8271-B	bise Storge Unit 1311-2	2.5c Starge Upit 1311-1	Disc Pac Controler 3231	Marche Ape Contr. 3/23	Hagodu Tape Trees 604	Haguetic TapeCouts. 162.3	Transfiguited Cont. 3270-A	Data Channelland 3681	4/K-Compoter 8041	Fourmest Mumber	OF Part	tracipios Che
	-5	9 557525	9	7 12.80	7 13750	05271 1	7 8250	7 264-	7	7 18150	7 1650	7 33 -	7 (22275	Houst his Charge	No. of Houthly T	Ostront
171)76	57447 9	50177 9	51548 9	1.79 7	963 7	1137 7	578 7	3696 7	2275 7	1170 7	116 7	231 7	1579 7	Proposal ho	Total Cost Per 1	A
	292350	25851	256850	22 40	110-	130	501	160-	130-	110-	14-	20.	135-	hooths Charge	Hoof Houthy	Oston
62850	20465	78095	/8/10	(5)	770	.910	350)/20	5/0	770	98	1)40	546 B	Der Auditor 1	Total Cat 6	14/
108326	36782	32082	33 H28	7	193	227	228	2576	1365	500	8	9	419	Option I	Option I hess	DECENENCE